	Case 3:05-cv-05356-FDB Document 10 Filed 09/	/20/05 Page 1 of 1
1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9		
10	SAM KOH,	
11	Plaintiff,	se No. C05-5356FDB
12	v. v.	RDER
13	GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,	ADER
14	Defendant.	
15		
	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied le	eave to proceed in forma pauperis, and
16	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied le since Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was	
16 17	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied le since Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4	s closed. Defendant now moves for
16 17 18	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied le since Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4  IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion To Dismiss [D	s closed. Defendant now moves for H1(b). ACCORDINGLY,
16 17 18 19	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied le since Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4  IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion To Dismiss [D of action is DISMISSED.	s closed. Defendant now moves for H1(b). ACCORDINGLY,
16 17 18 19 20	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied le since Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4  IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion To Dismiss [D of action is DISMISSED.  DATED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2005.	s closed. Defendant now moves for H1(b). ACCORDINGLY,
16 17 18 19 20 21	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied lessince Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4  IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion To Dismiss [Dismiss of action is DISMISSED.  DATED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2005.	s closed. Defendant now moves for H1(b). ACCORDINGLY,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied le since Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4  IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion To Dismiss [D of action is DISMISSED.  DATED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2005.	s closed. Defendant now moves for H1(b). ACCORDINGLY, kt. # 8] is GRANTED and this cause
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied lessince Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4  IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion To Dismiss [Description of action is DISMISSED.  DATED this 20th day of September, 2005.  FRANKLIN D. BURGE UNITED STATES DIST	s closed. Defendant now moves for H1(b). ACCORDINGLY, kt. #8] is GRANTED and this cause
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied lessince Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4  IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion To Dismiss [Double of action is DISMISSED.  DATED this 20th day of September, 2005.  FRANKLIN D. BURGE UNITED STATES DISTA	s closed. Defendant now moves for H1(b). ACCORDINGLY, kt. # 8] is GRANTED and this cause
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	By order entered June 10, 2005, Plaintiff was denied lessince Plaintiff never paid the filing fee, this cause of action was dismissal for want of prosecution pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4  IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion To Dismiss [Dof action is DISMISSED.  DATED this 20th day of September, 2005.  FRANKLIN D. BURGE UNITED STATES DIST	s closed. Defendant now moves for H1(b). ACCORDINGLY, kt. # 8] is GRANTED and this cause